Table S4: Assessing how much confidence can be placed in the findings of systematic reviews of qualitative studies^a

Key questions

- 1. Did the review address an appropriate policy or management question?
- 2. Was a clear and appropriate explanation provided for the search approach used?
- 3. Were the criteria used to select studies appropriate?
- 4. Was the approach used to appraise the reliability of the included studies appropriate?
- 5. Was an appropriate approach used to analyse the findings of the included studies?
- 6. Does the review provide a coherent explanation of the issue of phenomenon under consideration?

Source: adapted from [1]

^a A large number of tools are available to assess the quality of primary qualitative research (for example, [2,3]), and the principles underlying these tools may also be useful when assessing systematic reviews of qualitative studies.

References

- 1. Lewin S, Oxman AD, Lavis JN, Fretheim A (2009) SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health Policymaking (STP) 8: Deciding how much confidence to place in a systematic review. Health Res Policy Syst 7 Suppl 1: S8.
- 2. Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., and Dillon, L. (2003) Quality in Qualitative Evaluation: A framework for assessing research evidence. England: Government Chief Social Researcher's Office.
- 3. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2006) 10 questions to help you make sense of qualitative research. England: Public Health Resource Unit.